

PEARSON, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

NATHANIEL A. SPEELMAN,)	
)	CASE NO. 1:17CV1915
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON
)	
WARDEN LASHANN EPPINGER,)	
)	<u>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION AND</u>
Respondent.)	<u>ORDER</u>

Pro se Petitioner Nathaniel A. Speelman filed a Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 2254 \(ECF No. 1\)](#), alleging two (2) grounds for relief which challenge the constitutional effectiveness of his trial counsel in Ashland County, Ohio Court of Common Pleas, Case No. [15-CRI-014](#). A jury convicted Petitioner of pandering sexually oriented material involving a minor. The trial court sentenced Petitioner to serve a prison term of two years. Petitioner completed his term of incarceration and was released from Grafton Correctional Institution on October 22, 2017. He is currently under five years of post-release control.

The case was referred to Magistrate Judge William H. Baughman, Jr. for preparation of a report and recommendation pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 636](#) and [Local Rule 72.2\(b\)\(2\)](#). On March 29, 2019, the magistrate judge issued a Report and Recommendation. [ECF No. 9](#). In his report, the magistrate judge recommends that the Court deny the habeas petition because Petitioner's trial counsel was not ineffective, and Grounds One and Two accordingly fail on the merits. *Id.*

(1:17CV1915)

[Fed. R. Civ. P. 72\(b\)\(2\)](#) provides that objections to a report and recommendation must be filed within 14 days after service. Objections to the Report were due on May 3, 2019.¹ Neither party has timely filed any such objections. The Court must assume that the parties are satisfied with the magistrate judge's recommendations. Any further review by this Court would be a duplicative and inefficient use of the Court's limited resources. [Thomas v. Arn, 728 F.2d 813 \(6th Cir. 1984\)](#), [aff'd, 474 U.S. 140 \(1985\)](#); [Howard v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, 932 F.2d 505 \(6th Cir. 1991\)](#); [United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 \(6th Cir. 1981\)](#).

Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation of the magistrate judge is hereby adopted. Nathaniel A. Speelman's Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus is dismissed. [ECF No. 1](#). The Court certifies, pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 1915\(a\)\(3\)](#), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith, and that there is no basis upon which to issue a certificate of appealability. [28 U.S.C. § 2253\(c\)](#); [Fed. R. App. P. 22\(b\)](#).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

May 31, 2019
Date

/s/ Benita Y. Pearson
Benita Y. Pearson
United States District Judge

¹ Petitioner was served a copy of the Report by mail on April 16, 2019. Under [Fed. R. Civ. P. 6\(d\)](#), three days must be added to the fourteen-day time period. See [Thompson v. Chandler, 36 F. App'x 783, 784 \(6th Cir. 2002\)](#).